IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 2300 OF 2005

DHONDIRAM SHANKAR GADE .. PETITIONER

Vs.

PANDURANG BALKRISHNA HOLEY & ANR... RESPONDENTS

Mr. G. S. Godbole petitioner

Mr. V. B. Tapkir for respondents

CORAM:-SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

DATED:-19/4/2005

P.C.

Heard learned counsel for the petitoner. Both the courts have held against the petitioner-tenant on the ground of bonafide and reasonable requirement of the landlord and acquisition of suitable alternative accommodation. The concurrent finding of fact is unassailable and hence no interference is called for with the impugned judgment and order. Hence petition is rejected.

2. At this stage the learned counsel for the petitioner states that some time may be given to the petitioner to vacate the suit premises. The learned counsel for the respondents opposes this request. In the circumstances of the case the petitioner is given time till 30th April, 2006 to vacate the suit premises on the petitioner and his wife and other adult members, if any, of his family residing in the suit premises, filing usual undertaking in this court within four weeks from today.

.....